

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,184	03/24/2004	Raghavan Rajagopalan	1486.1:H US (073979.68)	4580
27805 7590 02/05/2009 THOMPSON HINE L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Pro	perty Group	PACKARD, BENJAMIN J		
DAYTON, OF			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/808,184	RAJAGOPALAN ET AL.	RAJAGOPALAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Benjamin Packard	1612			

	Benjamin Packard	1612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum shallory period is reply within the set or extended period for prely with period with the set or extended period for prely with partial with. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing camed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Oc	ctober 2008.					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 11-27 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-20 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
 Claim(s) <u>11,12 and 21-27</u> is/are rejected. 						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	 Interview Summary 	(PTO-413)				

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Pater Légalication	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) U Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/808,184

Art Unit: 1612

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed 10/23/08, have been fully considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 - Written Description

Claims 11, 12, and 21-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

This rejection is maintained.

Applicants submitted a declaration from an independent third party to address the issues, namely that a person of ordinary skill in the art would know (1) the identity of a "bombesin receptor binding molecule" by structure as well as by function, (2) whether and where a "receptor binding molecule" would attach to a methane group, (3) whether the receptor binding molecule must have a peptide chain, and (4) if it did not have a peptide chain, how the receptor binding molecule would bind.

On page 2 of the declaration, where Dr. Buolamwini states "It is my opinion that putting the invention together and using it as described requires a level of experimentation that is reasonable for one skilled in the art; it is not 'undue'." The affidavit then goes on to describe how it would be easy to determine which peptides are effective for binding to bonbesin receptors. It is noted, this is a written description rejection, not a scope of enablement, where undue experimentation is not a factor to be

Application/Control Number: 10/808,184

Art Unit: 1612

considered. For the written description requirement, the question is whether a sufficient number of species are disclosed such that one of skill in the art would envision what compounds fit this class. Similar to the facts of <u>Univ. of Rochester v G.D. Searle</u>, the general class of peptides is known and a limited number of bombesin receptor binding molecules are known, but there is no description that distinguishes the broad class of peptides, or other possible molecules, from those which do not have the required function. Where such a link lacks, experimentation would be required, as admitted by Dr. Buolamwini, evidencing the Applicants were not in possession of the broader class of compounds of the genus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 11, 12, and 21-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sykes et al (US 6,313,274) in view of Pinney et al (Biochemistry, Vol 30, No 9 1991, pp 2421-2431).

This rejection is maintained.

Applicants assert Sykes teaches away from any other use of arylaizes because they disclose it has been used to immobilize an antigen or antibody on a support.

Examiner notes that while Sykes et al differentiates from Noujaim based on the photoactivation of the antibody rather than the aromatic azido derivative, such a limitation is not in the instant claims. The instant claims are simply directed to a phototherapy, generally, which could include the photoactivation of either component. Thus, one would still be motivated to apply the teaching of Noujaim as disclosed by

Application/Control Number: 10/808,184

Art Unit: 1612

Sykes to attach an aromatic azido derivative for the purpose of making a compound where the azide may be photolyzed after accumulating on the receptor.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin Packard whose telephone number is 571-270-3440. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frederick Krass can be reached on 571-272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,184 Page 5

Art Unit: 1612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Benjamin Packard/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612

> /Frederick Krass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612